

The Natural Best L_1 -Approximation by Nondecreasing Functions

ROBERT HUOTARI AND DAVID LEGG,

*Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Indiana University-Purdue University at Fort Wayne,
Fort Wayne, Indiana 46805, U.S.A.*

AARON D. MEYEROWITZ

*Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University,
Columbus, Ohio 43210, U.S.A.*

AND

DOUGLAS TOWNSEND

*Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Indiana University-Purdue University at Fort Wayne,
Fort Wayne, Indiana 46805, U.S.A.*

Communicated by Charles K. Chui

Received February 18, 1985; revised July 1, 1985

We construct a candidate for the natural best L_1 -approximation to an integrable function, f , by elements of an L_1 -closed convex proximal set. If f is a Lebesgue integrable function on $[0, 1]$ and the approximating set is the set of all non-decreasing functions, we show that our construction gives an extension of the known natural best L_1 -approximation operator from $\bigcup_{p>1} L_p$ to L_1 . In the course of doing this, we also complete the characterization, given in (Huotari, Meyerowitz, and Sheard, *J. Approx. Theory* 47 (1986), 85-91) of the set of all best L_1 -approximations. Finally, in the case of isotonic approximation to a function of several variables, we extend a previous result concerning the almost everywhere convergence of the best L_p -approximations, $p > 1$, to the natural best L_1 -approximation. © 1988 Academic Press, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$ be a finite measure space. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, let $L_p = L_p(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$ and let $L_{1+} = \bigcup_{p>1} L_p$. Suppose $f \in L_1$ and $C \subset L_1$ is a closed convex set which is *proximal*, i.e., for any g in L_1 , there is an L_1 -nearest point to g in C . If $p \geq 1$ and f is in L_p , let $\mu_p(f, C)$ be the set of all best L_p -approximations of f in C , i.e., the set of all g in C with

$$\|f - g\|_p = \inf\{\|f - h\|_p : h \in C \cap L_p\}.$$

If $p > 1$, it is well known that $\mu_p(f, C)$ consists of a single function, which we denote by f_p .

An element f_1 in $\mu_1(f, C)$ is called a *natural* best L_1 -approximation of f in C if for each g in $\mu_1(f, C)$, $g \neq f_1$, there exists $p(g) > 1$ such that

$$\|f - f_1\|_p < \|f - g\|_p \quad \text{for all } p \text{ in } (1, p(g)). \tag{1.1}$$

By Proposition 4 and Theorem 2 in [3], condition (1.1) is satisfied by a unique element, f_1 , of $\mu_1(f, C)$, f_1 is the unique best L_1 -approximation of f in C minimizing

$$\int |f - g| \ln |f - g| \, d\mu \tag{1.2}$$

among all g in $\mu_1(f, C)$, and

$$f_p \rightarrow f_1 \quad \text{in } L_1 \text{ as } p \downarrow 1. \tag{1.3}$$

Define the operator $N_C: L_{1+} \rightarrow C$ by $N_C(f) = f_1$. In this paper, we define an operator $N_C^*: L_1 \rightarrow C$. We conjecture that $N_C^* = N_C$ on L_{1+} . In the case of isotonic approximation we show that this is so. (In a forthcoming paper we will show that it is also true if C is the set of all functions measurable with respect to an arbitrary sigma algebra.) In the case of isotonic approximation on the unit n -cube, we show that the convergence in (1.3) is pointwise almost everywhere.

2. A CANDIDATE FOR $N_C(f)$, $f \in L_1$

Let f be an arbitrary element of L_1 . Our goal in this section is to construct a "natural" best L_1 -approximation to f in C . If N_C were continuous on L_{1+} , the fact that the set of all simple functions is dense in L_1 would make this an easy problem. The following example however, shows that N_C is not continuous.

EXAMPLE 2.1. Let $\Omega = [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\mu =$ Lebesgue measure; let \mathfrak{A} be the μ -measurable subsets of Ω and C the set of all constant functions. Let $f = I_{[0, 1/2]}$, i.e., $f(x) = 1$ if $x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $f(x) = 0$ otherwise. For $\varepsilon > 0$, let $f^\varepsilon = I_{[0, 1/2 + \varepsilon]}$. Then $\|f - f^\varepsilon\|_1 = \varepsilon$ but $f_1 \equiv \frac{1}{2}$ while $f_1^\varepsilon \equiv 1$. The same result holds if, instead of constant functions, C consists of all nondecreasing functions in L_1 .

For any functions g and h in L_1 , let $g \vee h = \max(g, h)$ and $g \wedge h = \min(g, h)$, and, for nonnegative integers m and n , denote the truncations of g by $g^{\infty n} = g \wedge n$, $g^{m\infty} = g \vee (-m)$ and $g^{mn} = (g \wedge n) \vee (-m)$.

We construct our candidate for a natural best L_1 -approximation to f by considering truncations of f .

For each pair (m, n) of nonnegative integers, $f^{mn} \in L_\infty$. Thus, f^{mn} has a natural best L_1 -approximation, f_1^{mn} , in C . Also, if $n \geq k \geq 0$ and $0 \leq m \leq l$, then

$$f^{mn} \geq f^{mk} \geq f^{lk}.$$

Since N_C and the operators $f \rightarrow \inf \mu_1(f, C)$ and $f \rightarrow \sup \mu_1(f, C)$ are monotone (see Proposition 5 and Lemma 3 in [3]), we have

$$\sup \mu_1(f^{0\infty}, C) \geq f_1^{mn} \geq f_1^{mk} \geq f_1^{lk} \geq \inf \mu_1(f^{0\infty}, C), \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Thus for all integers $n > 0$,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_1^{jn} = f_1^{\infty n} \quad \text{exists a.e.} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\inf \mu_1(f^{\infty 0}, C) \leq f_1^{\infty n} \leq \sup \mu_1(f^{0\infty}, C) \quad \text{a.e.} \quad (2.2)$$

It follows from (2.1) and (2.2) and the dominated convergence theorem that f_1^{jn} converges to $f_1^{\infty n}$ in L_1 . By Theorem 1 in [4], $f_1^{\infty n} \in \mu_1(f^{\infty n}, C)$. Since $f_1^{mn} \geq f_1^{mk}$ a.e. For $n \geq k$, we have $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_1^{mn} \geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_1^{mk}$ a.e., or,

$$f_1^{\infty n} \geq f_1^{\infty k} \quad \text{a.e. for } n \geq k. \quad (2.3)$$

From (2.2) and (2.3) we conclude that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_1^{\infty j} = f_1^* \quad \text{exists a.e.}$$

and

$$\inf \mu_1(f^{\infty 0}, C) \leq f_1^* \leq \sup \mu_1(f^{0\infty}, C) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Again it follows from the dominated convergence theorem that

$$f_1^{\infty j} \rightarrow f_1^* \quad \text{in } L_1 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty,$$

so Theorem 1 in [4] implies that $f_1^* \in \mu_1(f, C)$. We summarize our results in the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. *If $f \in L_1$, then there exists an element f_1^* of $\mu_1(f, C)$ so that*

the natural best approximations to the truncations of f converge in L_1 to f_1^* ; that is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_1^{mn}) = f_1^*.$$

We conjecture that $f_1^* = f_1$ when $f \in L_{1+}$.

3. THE NATURAL BEST ISOTONIC APPROXIMATION

In this section we restrict our attention to the case where $\Omega = [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\mu =$ Lebesgue measure, $\mathfrak{A} =$ all μ -measurable sets and $C = M$, the set of all nondecreasing functions on $[0, 1]$. If $p \geq 1$ and $f \in L_p$, then

$$\inf_{g \in M} \|f - g\|_p \leq \|f\|_p$$

(since $0 \in M$), whence $\mu_p(f, M) = \mu_p(f, M \cap L_p)$. The set $M \cap L_1$ is an L_1 -closed convex lattice with $a(M \cap L_1) + b \subset M \cap L_1$ when $a \geq 0, b \in \mathbb{R}$, so the results in [3] apply. We will show that the construction in Section 2 provides an extension of N_M from L_{1+} to L_1 .

We begin with a construction of $\inf \mu_1(f, M)$ and $\sup \mu_1(f, M)$. This construction is of independent interest.

For each c in \mathbb{R} , define

$$h_c(x) = \begin{cases} -1, & f(x) \leq c \\ 1, & f(x) > c, \end{cases}$$

and $k_c(x) = \int_0^x h_c(t) dt$. Then k_c is a continuous function of x and, for each x , $k_c(x)$ is continuous from above as a function of c . Let

$$m_c = \min_x k_c(x)$$

and

$$x_c = \max \{x: k_c(x) = m_c\}.$$

Then $x_c \leq x_d$ whenever $c < d$. Indeed, suppose that $x_c > x_d$ but $c < d$. Since $k_c(x_c) = k_c(x_d) + \int_{x_d}^{x_c} h_c(t) dt$ and $k_d(x_c) = k_d(x_d) + \int_{x_d}^{x_c} h_d(t) dt$, it is necessary that $\int_{x_d}^{x_c} h_c(t) dt \leq 0$ and $\int_{x_d}^{x_c} h_d(t) dt > 0$. Thus there exists t in $[x_d, x_c]$ such that $h_d(t) > h_c(t)$, which contradicts the definition of h_c . Thus, there exists a unique function f which satisfies the condition

$$\{x: f(x) \leq c\} = [0, x_c], \quad c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Similarly, let

$$\bar{h}_c(x) = \begin{cases} -1, & f(x) < c \\ 1, & f(x) \geq c, \end{cases}$$

$$\bar{k}_c(x) = \int_x^1 \bar{h}_c(t) dt, \quad M_c = \max_c \bar{k}_c(x),$$

and

$$\bar{x}_c = \min\{x : \bar{k}_c(x) = M_c\}$$

and let \bar{f} be the function which satisfies the condition

$$\{x : \bar{f}(x) \geq c\} = [\bar{x}_c, 1], \quad c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

THEOREM 3.1. For f and \bar{f} as defined above,

$$\underline{f} = \inf \mu_1(f, M) \in \mu_1(f, M) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{f} = \sup \mu_1(f, M) \in \mu_1(f, M).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3 in [3], $\mu_1(f, M)$ is nonempty and contains $\inf \mu_1(f, M)$. Let $g = \inf \mu_1(f, M)$. We wish to show that $\underline{f} = g$. Since $\underline{f}(0) = g(0) = -\infty$, it is enough to show that $\underline{f} = g$ on $(0, 1]$. Suppose that $\underline{f}(x) < g(x)$ for some x in $(0, 1]$ and let $c = \underline{f}(x)$. Since g is left continuous on $(0, 1]$, $[g \leq c] = [0, x^*]$ for some $x^* < x_c$. Then $k_c(x^*) \geq k_c(x_c)$, so

$$\mu([f \leq c]; [x^*, x_c]) \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\mu(A; B)$ denotes the relative measure of A in B , i.e., $\mu(A; B) = \mu(A \cap B)/\mu B$. Since g is not constant at x^* , (2) in [1] gives

$$\mu([f < g]; [x^*, x_c]) \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.2)$$

Since $c < g$ on $[x^*, x_c]$, (3.1) and (3.2) show that

$$\mu([f \leq c]; [x^*, x_c]) = \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\mu([c < f < g]; [x^*, x_c]) = 0.$$

We now will show that there is a function $\phi \in M$ with $\|f - \phi\|_1 \leq \|f - g\|_1$ and $\phi > g$ on $[x^*, x_c]$, contradicting the choice of g . Let

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} c, & x \in [x^*, x_c] \\ g(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We have seen that almost everywhere on $[x^*, x_c]$, either $f \leq c \leq g$ (so $h_c = -1$) or $c < g \leq f$ (so $h_c = 1$). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - \phi\|_1 - \|f - g\|_1 &= \int_{x^*}^{x_c} (g(x) - c) h_c(x) dx \\ &= \int_{x^*}^{x_c} \int_c^{g(x)} h_c(x) dy dx \\ &= \int_c^{g(x_c)} \int_{g^{-1}(y)}^{x_c} h_c(x) dx dy \\ &= \int_c^{g(x_c)} [k_c(x_c) - k_c(g^{-1}(y))] dy, \end{aligned}$$

where $g^{-1}(y) = \inf\{x: g(x) \geq y\}$. By definition of x_c , the last integrand is always nonpositive so $\|f - \phi\|_1 - \|f - g\|_1 \leq 0$. Since $\phi < g$ on $[x^*, x_c]$, we have a contradiction, so $f \geq g$.

Suppose now that $f(x) > g(x)$ for some x in $(0, 1]$. Let $c = g(x)$ and let $[g \leq c] = [0, x^*]$. Then $x_c < x \leq x^*$ and $k_c(x_c) < k_c(x^*)$ so

$$\mu([f > c]; [x_c, x^*]) > \frac{1}{2}. \tag{3.3}$$

Either $x^* = 1$ or $0 < x^* < 1$. In the second case $g(t) > g(x^*)$ whenever $t > x^*$, so (3) in [1] implies that

$$\mu([f \leq g]; [x_c, x^*]) \geq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{3.4}$$

If $x^* = 1$, then (4) in [1] gives (3.4). Since $g \leq c$ on $[x_c, x^*]$, (3.3) and (3.4) are contradictory. Thus $f \leq g$, so $f = g$.

The demonstration that $\bar{f} = \sup \mu_1(f, M)$ is similar. This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

We now recall a characterization of $\mu_1(f, M)$ which was given in [1]. In that paper \underline{f} and \bar{f} were defined differently than they are here, but Theorem 3.1 shows that both definitions give the same functions. Let U be the union of all maximal open intervals on which \bar{f} and \underline{f} are constant and unequal. In [1] it was shown that $\bar{f} = \underline{f}$ almost everywhere on $[0, 1] - U$. Define $h: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & f(x) \geq \bar{f}(x) > \underline{f}(x) \\ -1, & f(x) \leq \underline{f}(x) < \bar{f}(x) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Also let

$$k(x) = \int_0^x h(t) dt. \tag{3.5}$$

As shown in [1], $[k = 0] \supset ([0, 1] - U)$, $([k = 0] \cap U)$ has measure zero, and for any g in M , $g \in \mu_1(f, M)$ if and only if

- (i) $f \leq g \leq \bar{f}$ on $[0, 1]$ and
- (ii) g is constant on each component of $[k \neq 0]$.

The characterization in [1] was partial in that it was not shown how $\inf \mu_1(f, M)$ and $\sup \mu_1(f, M)$ depend on f . A by-product of Theorem 3.1 in the present paper is that the characterization is now complete. It also allows us to establish that N_M^* extends N_M .

The following lemma will combine with property (1.2) of the natural best L_1 -approximation to give our result. For notational convenience, we denote $\overline{f^{mn}}$ by \bar{f}^{mn} and $\underline{f^{mn}}$ by \underline{f}^{mn} for any nonnegative integers m and n .

LEMMA 3.2. *Suppose $f \in L_1$ and $g \in \mu_1(f, M)$. Then for each pair (m, n) of nonnegative integers $g^{mn} \in \mu_1(f^{mn}, M)$.*

Proof. We first use Theorem 3.1 to describe \underline{f}^{mn} and \bar{f}^{mn} and then use conditions (i) and (ii) to show that g^{mn} is in $\mu_1(f^{mn}, M)$. For each $c \in \mathbb{R}$, define $k^{mn}, k_c^{mn}, x_c^{mn}, \bar{x}_c^{mn}$, and U^{mn} in the same way that k, k_c, x_c, \bar{x}_c , and U are defined for f . Then $x_c^{mn} = 0$ for $c < -m$, $x_c^{mn} = x_c$ for $-m \leq c < n$ and $x_c^{mn} = 1$ for $c \geq n$. Thus $\underline{f}^{mn} = (f)^{mn}$ on $(0, 1)$ ($\underline{f}^{mn}(0) = -\infty$). Similarly, $\bar{f}^{mn} = (\bar{f})^{mn}$ on $[0, 1)$. Clearly $\underline{f}^{mn} \leq g^{mn} \leq \bar{f}^{mn}$ on $[0, 1]$, since $\underline{f} \leq g \leq \bar{f}$.

Let B be any component of $[k^{mn} \neq 0]$. To complete the proof we must show that g^{mn} is constant on B . To that end, we observe that B is completely contained in one of the sets

$$A_1 = [\bar{f} \leq -m] = [0, \bar{x}_{-m}], \quad A_2 = [f \geq n] = [x_n, 1], \quad \text{and} \quad A_3 = (\bar{x}_{-m}, x_n).$$

In either of the first two cases g^{mn} is surely constant on B . Finally, examination of the definitions shows that $h^{mn} = h$ on (\bar{x}_{-m}, x_n) while $k(\bar{x}_{-m}) = k^{mn}(\bar{x}_{-m}) = 0$, so $k^{mn} = k$ on A_3 . Thus, if B is a component of $[k^{mn} \neq 0] \cap A_3$, it is also a component of $[k \neq 0]$. Since g is constant on B , so is g^{mn} .

Note that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g^{mn}(x)) = g(x)$ and $|g^{mn}(x)| \leq |g(x)|$ for each x in $[0, 1]$, so $g^{mn} \rightarrow g$ in L_1 .

THEOREM 3.3. *If $f \in L_{1+}$, then $f_1^* = f_1$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\int |f - f_1^*| \ln |f - f_1^*| \leq \int |f - g| \ln |f - g| \tag{3.6}$$

for every g in $\mu_1(f, M)$. Given g in $\mu_1(f, M)$, let $\{g^{mn}\}$ be the sequence guaranteed by Lemma 3.2. Then, for every $m, n \geq 0$,

$$\int |f^{mn} - f_1^{mn}| \ln |f^{mn} - f_1^{mn}| \leq \int |f^{mn} - g^{mn}| \ln |f^{mn} - g^{mn}|. \quad (3.7)$$

Let $m \rightarrow \infty$ and then let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.7) to get (3.6). This concludes the proof.

Since the best L_1 -approximation we have constructed is the natural best L_1 -approximation when f is in L_{1+} , we have indeed extended the operator N_M from L_{1+} to L_1 .

4. ALMOST EVERYWHERE CONVERGENCE OF f_p TO f_1

In this section we generalize a result from [2] concerning the convergence of the best L_p -approximations, $p > 1$, to the natural best L_1 -approximation by nondecreasing functions.

For $n \geq 1$, let Ω be the unit n -cube, $[0, 1]^n$. Let μ denote n -dimensional Lebesgue measure on Ω and let \mathfrak{A} consist of the μ -measurable subsets of Ω . If $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ are elements of Ω , we write $x \leq y$ if $x_i \leq y_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. A function $g: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *nondecreasing* if x, y in Ω and $x \leq y$ imply that $g(x) \leq g(y)$. Let M consist of all nondecreasing functions. Let $f \in L_q$ and, for $1 < p < q \leq \infty$, let $\mu_p(f, M) = \{f_p\}$. In [2] it was shown that, for $f \in L_\infty$, as p decreases to one, f_p converges almost everywhere to f_1 , the natural best L_1 -approximation to f by elements of M . We now show that this result is also true if f is only assumed to be in L_{1+} .

LEMMA 4.1. *If $\{g^k: k > 1\} \subset M$ and $g^k \rightarrow g^1$ in L_1 , then $g^k \rightarrow g^1$ almost everywhere.*

Proof. Since a subsequence of $\{g^k\}$ converges to g^1 almost everywhere, $g^1 \in M$. By Theorem 1.1 in [2], g^1 is continuous almost everywhere. If Lemma 4.1 were false, there would be a point y in the interior of Ω at which g^1 is continuous but $g^k(y)$ does not converge to $g^1(y)$. Since $\{g^k(y)\}$ has a subsequential limit $d \neq g^1(y)$ and since any subsequence of $\{g^k\}$ converges in L_1 to g^1 , we may suppose that $g^k(y) \rightarrow d$. The argument for $d < g^1(y)$ is similar to that for $d > g^1(y)$, so we give only the latter: Let $d^* = (d + g^1(y))/2$. Since g^1 is continuous at y , there exists a point $z > y$ such that for each x in the set

$$J = \{x: y_1 < x_1 < z_1, \dots, y_n < x_n < z_n\},$$

$g^1(x) < d^*$. Since there exists K such that for each $k \geq K$, $g^k(y) > d^*$ and since each g^k is nondecreasing, we have

$$\int_y^z (g^k - g^1) dx > \int_y^z (d^* - g^1) dx > 0$$

for every $k \geq K$, a contradiction. This establishes Lemma 4.1.

THEOREM 4.2. *If $f \in L_{1+}$, then f_p converges almost everywhere as p decreases to one to the natural best L_1 -approximation to f in M .*

Proof. By Proposition 4 and Theorem 2 in [3], $f_p \rightarrow f_1$ in L_1 as p decreases to one. We may now apply Lemma 4.1.

REFERENCES

1. R. HUOTARI, A. MEYEROWITZ, AND M. SHEARD, Best monotone approximants in $L_1[0, 1]$, *J. Approx. Theory* **47** (1986), 85–91.
2. R. HUOTARI AND D. LEGG, "Monotone approximation in several variables, *J. Approx. Theory* **47** (1986), 219–227.
3. D. LANDERS AND L. ROGGE, Natural choice of L_1 -approximants, *J. Approx. Theory* **33** (1981), 268–280.
4. D. LANDERS AND L. ROGGE, Continuity of best approximants, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **84** (1981), 683–689.